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Notes from my inbox

“May you live in interesting times” – Chinese curse

By Kirshni Totaram

THIS YEAR BEGAN with a political reboot in South Africa – 'Ramaphoria' has taken hold among both the local and international community. And rightly so. The main reason is that the country's leadership changes have taken place faster than expected and that president Cyril Ramaphosa has been able to act on difficult issues more quickly than even the most optimistic among us could have predicted. He has replaced a third of the cabinet and appointed respected and experienced individuals to key economic and policy positions. The process to shake up and transform the ailing public sector enterprises has also begun in earnest.

Part of the solutions introduced was a value-added tax increase from 1 April – the first in 25 years – a politically challenging decision but one that signals the serious intention to bring about change. This helped significantly towards South Africa avoiding a ratings downgrade as Moody’s kept our investment grade rating...
unchanged and raised its outlook from negative to stable. Wow, what a turn of events!

But while all these developments are good news and steering things in the right direction, we know that our country has a long road ahead to correct the incompetence, corruption and lack of accountability of previous years. This is why the president has repeatedly made it clear that the righting of our country is a task for us all. But South Africans are resilient, we persevere and we muck in. These attributes will always help us prevail in the end.

Looking back is also nostalgic for us at Coronation as we mark our 25th year since launch. From humble beginnings, through years of working hard to earn the trust of our clients, we are proud of the meaningful role we play in the industry, managing the long-term savings of millions of South Africans and global investors. A big thank you to our clients for your support over the years.

And certainly, there is no way one can write about the last quarter without some words on the Day Zero threat that put Cape Town in the headlines around the world – but not for the right reason. The real and tangible implications of climate change are being experienced by millions of Capetonians who have had to change water consumption habits quite drastically over the past few months to fend off the crisis. The two-minute shower is a real thing – and boy, I must confess, it is hard. Thankfully, the efforts have been successful and Day Zero has been averted for 2018. Now all we need is rain!

Given the long sporting rivalry between South Africa and Australia, there is simply no way I could avoid speaking about the cricket cheating scandal which broke during the test match series between the two nations in March. For those of you who do not follow cricket, the ball tampering issue is akin to doping in cycling – it is a serious transgression in the sport. But perhaps the most notable matter for me is the commonality this moral transgression in leadership shares with many organisations – both in government and the corporate sector in the last while.

It is a reminder of the fine line between justifiable pride and arrogance, and of the importance of diversity in ‘sounding boards’ and decision-making groups. If you have a closed leadership grouping of individuals who always think the same way, some of the unchallenged ideas formed are bound to be bad. In the eye of the storm, they may even be ethically wrong. We talk about some of the failures in value later in an article on the audit profession.

Looking away from home, we certainly have not been short on news and activity this quarter. Volatility in the markets has returned – in a big way – and it looks set to stay. And on 6 February, SpaceX made history with a successful launch of Falcon Heavy, the most powerful commercial rocket in the world. The maiden flight also marked the first time a privately financed venture ever attempted to launch a rocket so powerful that it was capable of hoisting a payload out of Earth’s orbit. And in keeping with what we have come to understand about Elon Musk, the Falcon Heavy was loaded with his cherry-red Tesla Roadster carrying a space-suit-clad mannequin named ‘Starman’ in the driver’s seat, broadcasting the tunes of David Bowie’s ‘Space Oddity’.

But all has not gone well for the technology giants. March concluded with a big fall in the well-known grouping FAANGs (Facebook, Apple, Amazon, Netflix and Alphabet’s Google). A number of catalysts, including Facebook’s Cambridge Analytica scandal, president Trump’s attacks via Twitter on Amazon and well-publicised accidents involving self-driving cars, all contributed. The Facebook privacy scandal was a serious development. Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg’s robotic and unconvincing testimony to the US Congress in early April was disappointing. The result is a corporate crisis and paves the way for potential political reckoning. And when things get nasty, they do so quickly – we saw even Facebook allies ‘unfriend it’ in the promotion of the #DeleteFacebook campaign. (Full disclosure here, I deleted my account. And yes, I did feel self-righteous in that moment). We suspect we are in for a new era in the regulation of the technology giants, especially around data control and privacy.

We suspect we are in for a new era in the regulation of the technology giants, especially around data control and privacy.

IN THIS EDITION

In this edition, we look at the very emotive and challenging issue of land reform in South Africa. Marie Antelme, Coronation’s economist, offers insight into the open debate on land expropriation, how policy has evolved over time and what approach is needed going forward.

The article on the audit profession I mentioned also puts moral issues under the spotlight. We address the failures of audit firms to fulfil their roles as trusted guardians and the growing repercussions for their long-term relevance and survival. Neville Chester, a chartered accountant, covers this on page 9.

As the world embraces the dress code defined by athleisure, we delve into the global sportswear industry with an investment case for the world-class premium sports brand Adidas. As a counterbalance, we also share our view on British American Tobacco, a share which has been under enormous pressure in the short term but has delivered significant value for its shareholders over time, despite its highly regulated industry.

It is clear from our comparison of Vietnam and Egypt that everything that glitters is not gold. Vietnam’s appealing macro environment does not translate into no-brainer investment ideas, while there are great opportunities behind Egypt’s bad headlines.

The long-term economic challenges are serious here at home in South Africa. But growth last year was a little stronger than expected and this, coupled with our recent political changes,
bodes well for an improvement in growth over the next couple of years. We hope President Ramaphosa and his new government can do enough of the right things to sustain it. It will be a welcome relief.

The current investment climate is far from traditional or normal. To survive and have our portfolios thrive in such an environment require a resilience to our investment approach that is strongly anchored on our core principles of being long term and valuation driven.

There is no doubt that this approach can lead to intense short-term performance pressures – as being experienced currently – but we also strongly believe that it offers the greatest opportunities, provided that one has a long-term perspective. Much of this is outlined and discussed in the articles in this edition. With the volumes there is to digest, we wish you a rewarding read! ✨

Kirshni
ALL ASPECTS OF land reform are complex and emotive. Throughout history and across geographies, people's ties to land are closely linked to their own cultural identity and economic position, and are often fraught with periods of upheaval. In South Africa this is profoundly complicated by our colonial and apartheid history, legacies that have always loomed large in government’s approach to land reform. In the early 1990s, even the deeply divided negotiating parties recognised the importance of addressing land ownership as a critical condition of economic and social stability.

Land reform as a policy priority has had some successes, but also abject failures. This partly explains the calls for expropriation without compensation, but it is not the only reason. Years of poor service delivery, falling per capita GDP and widening inequality have all contributed to extreme social frustration, but the failure to distribute land more equitably is an obvious focal point. There is a political explanation, which also needs to be recognised.

The recent focus on expropriation without compensation, while critically important, detracts from the wider issue – the severely
unequal distribution of ownership patterns in South Africa is undesirable and unsustainable, and has to change. However, any disorderly or confusing policy directives perceived to contest private property rights could quickly undermine both stability and growth. The enormous challenge for government now is to implement a programme of equitable land reform while containing the manner in which this is achieved.

In this short note, we cannot hope to address all the relevant and complicated issues that form part of the umbrella term ‘land reform’. What we do hope to achieve is a better understanding of the context by which the ANC came to adopt land reform as a resolution after the elective conference at Nasrec in December last year. We look at the history of the ANC’s land reform programme and offer some views on the path ahead for the new resolution.

**LAND REFORM HAS ALWAYS BEEN AN ANC POLICY PRIORITY**

In the early 1990s, after a number of failed negotiations, the 26 parties of the Multi-Party Negotiating Process agreed the priorities that would ultimately be the framework for the national constitution. The highly unequal distribution of land ownership was widely recognised as a key legacy of the past, and one which directly contributed to broader issues of wealth and power concentration, and entrenched rural poverty. Despite this, negotiations were protracted and heated, resulting in intentionally vague wording in the final draft, which was left open to judicial and other interpretation.

The institutional framework for land reform was entrenched in the Bill of Rights in the Constitution in the ‘Property Clause’ (Section 25). This includes three rights to land – equitable access, tenure security and restitution. It provides for the protection of property rights as well as the expropriation of land for both ‘public services’ and in the ‘public interest’ for ‘just and equitable’ compensation.

Land reform falls firmly in the ‘public interest’ provision and ‘just and equitable’ compensation takes into consideration the full history and use of the land in question, possibly allowing compensation from zero up to market price. The 1913 Land Act was intentionally included as the starting date against which both the right to restitution and the right to secure tenure were to be measured.

Land reform was identified as a key programme to be adopted by the incoming democratic government, with multiple objectives of delivering restitution for dispossession, driving rural development, creating jobs, raising income, and alleviating poverty and inequality. The potentially positive wider impacts of land reform were thus strongly emphasised from the outset. The ANC government embarked on an ambitious land reform programme early in 1994. It had three component programmes which were intended to be complementary:

1. The land redistribution programme to broaden the black majority’s access to land. The target was 30% of land in the first five years.
2. The land restitution programme to restore land to or compensate people dispossessed of land as a result of racial discrimination after the 1913 Land Act.
3. The tenure reform programme to secure the rights of people living under insecure arrangements on land that they did not own, including land owned by the state (including former homelands) and by private individuals, including farm land.

To deliver redistribution, the Constitution provides for the state to ‘take reasonable measures’ within available resources’. This is an important condition to remember, as it informs the new policy debate.

**LAND REFORM UNDER THE ANC: SUCCESS AND FAILURE**

Early progress with land reform was slow. From 1994 to 1999, various laws were passed to build a consensus on land reform, and restitution claims were submitted to a deadline of December 1998. The focus was primarily on helping the poor. A total of 63 455 land claims were lodged, about 88% of which were by individuals or groups in urban areas. An audit showed that some of the claims were ‘bundled’; the number of claims was therefore revised up to 79 696 in 2007. By March 1999, only 650 000 hectares (less than 1% of private farmland) had been transferred under various pilot schemes aimed at funding groups of people to enable commercial operation of transferred farms. Some progress was made with early legislation to ensure security of tenure (mostly halting illegal evictions), but this then stalled and has never recovered.

During Mbeki’s presidency from 1999 to 2009, the pace accelerated. The focus shifted from meeting the land needs of the poor to the transformation of commercial farming. The land redistribution target of 30% was moved to 2014. By the end of 2009, government reported that 3.04 million hectares had been transferred to 185 858 beneficiaries. The restitution programme had settled 75 787 claims by that time, most of them urban, and most of these saw claimants compensated for property. Some 1.5 million people benefited.

However, problems dogged all the programmes. Official processes were incredibly slow and there was poor coordination between departments, with Agriculture and Land Affairs often passing regulations in conflict with each other. Some of the provisions in the regulations made both transfer and management of farms problematic. Grants had to be pooled to buy large tracts of land, but subdivision was not allowed. Technical support for emerging farmers was woefully inadequate and many thriving commercial farms failed. Corruption and collusion by both private and public entities were rife.

By 2009, land reform was perceived to be in deep trouble and public opinion plummeted. With the global financial crisis and domestic recession, the state had also started to run out of financial resources to fund it. A number of diagnostic investigations suggested that government had not used ‘reasonable measures’ or ‘available resources’ to their full extent or aggressively enough in delivering bigger transfers or finalising restitution claims.

The period from 2009 to date was characterised by a considerable slowing in delivery as well as a substantial increase in rhetoric.
and associated legislation about the importance of land reform, not least with the emergence of the Economic Freedom Fighters (EFF) in the 2014 elections. The raft of new regulations passed during this time complicated the land reform programme enormously. Importantly, a new Expropriation Bill was introduced in 2015 and approved in 2016. It aims to bring legislation governing expropriation, currently dating back to 1975, and applicable only to ‘public use’, in line with the Constitution. It also gives clarity to the ‘just and equitable’ provision in the Constitution, which may be an elegant way of circumventing any debate about needing to change the Constitution. The Bill has not yet been enacted.

Within this context, the ANC formally adopted land reform without compensation as a resolution at its elective conference in December 2017. It is very clear that 24 years after the initial programme started, the slow pace of progress on all three programmes has been an increasing source of frustration for many people who are still landless, impoverished and extremely vulnerable. The situation is exacerbated by mounting discontent with very weak general service delivery, the very low level of economic growth prevailing over the past 10 years, falling real per capita GDP seen over the last five years and associated rising inequality.

Prioritising this more populist approach to a long-held policy also has a political aspect. First, the ANC has captured the radical rhetoric of EFF leader Julius Malema, providing the opportunity to both deliver on this priority and manage the way in which the programme is implemented. Secondly, expropriation was championed within the ANC by the losing presidential candidate, Nkosazana Dlamini-Zuma. By formally adopting this resolution, her backers have leverage over the president in terms of delivering on this policy. What we do know, however, is that this issue is combustible, and if it is not contained in a rigid policy framework, it could have severely damaging socioeconomic consequences.

**WHAT IS THE LIKELY PATH FROM HERE?**

Time is of the essence. Government needs to put a framework in place that can deliver effectively and transparently both land and/or title to landless people on some scale, before the process becomes disorderly. It also urgently needs to manage the parameters of how a new programme is communicated.

There is little concrete by which to assess the new approach to land reform, but there are a few things we do know. The first issue to clarify relates to a resolution passed by parliament – in February, the National Assembly passed a motion to review the Constitutional provision for the expropriation of property (land) without compensation. This was not the original, more extreme, motion brought by the EFF, which called for an amendment of the Constitution, but rather a commitment to review the provision. This was approved by 241 votes to 83. The Constitutional Review Committee has until 30 August to report its findings and make a recommendation to parliament.

There is considerable legal debate about whether or not ‘just and equitable’ compensation could already be interpreted to include zero compensation, but it is necessary for this to be decided once and for all. Even a recommendation to change the Constitution may not guarantee it passes, because an amendment needs a two-thirds majority in the National Assembly, which means the ANC will need the EFF’s backing. At this stage it is clear that the two parties have very different views of how a policy of expropriation of property should look.

Next, it is clear that any new policy will also not just be about agricultural land; it will be about all land, public and private. The state, and state-owned entities, hold vast tracts of land that can be utilised. Throughout the land redistribution programme, the state has been accumulating farms (estimates suggest 4 500 to 5 000 farms are owned by government) in addition to urban and peri-urban land. President Ramaphosa has called for an audit to accurately identify government land which could be used to establish a precedent. In addition, inner-city absentee landlord properties and private land on which there are established informal settlements could be opportunities to invest, improve the quality of infrastructure and establish ownership.

Government needs to strengthen the legal framework within which a new programme will operate. There are few judicial precedents of challenges to compensation policies for land transfer. Thus amending and expediting the Expropriation Bill (2017) may provide clarity and help establish some jurisprudence.

Lastly, the process needs buy-in. Both president Ramaphosa and ANC veteran Jeremy Cronin have committed to extensive consultation. It is clear that many people are angry, or frightened by the proposals, but also that the current situation is unsustainable. Clearly stating the conditions under which expropriation without compensation may be used, possibly on a case-by-case basis, could help rationalise the debate. Focusing attention on assisting the very poor and vulnerable linked to other efforts to reduce poverty might strengthen social commitment.

**CONCLUSION**

The critical and sensitive nature of land reform in South Africa demands strong leadership, clear principles to follow and efficient, consistent implementation with visible lines of accountability. Should South Africa fail in this undertaking, it would leave us vulnerable to the kinds of populism that can lead to chaos.

Land restoration in practice is unlikely to be possible in all cases and it will take competent leadership, which has been sorely lacking, to communicate that appropriately to communities. It is important that a moral purpose is instilled in the process, as the implementation requires sensitivity and respect between South Africans of different backgrounds. In many circumstances, financial settlements are the only way to compensate people. This compensation can only come from the government, given that land ownership may have changed hands numerous times over the years.

For a lasting solution, we need to recognise the different spiritual and cultural needs of South Africans to reach mutual understanding. While the concept of land ownership is complex, speaking not only to material needs but also to the spiritual significance of specific land, at its heart is restoring dignity and cultural rights to our people.
Who guards the guards?

The relevance of auditors in a post-financial scandal world

By Neville Chester

OPEN THE NEWSPAPERS virtually any day of the week, or google ‘auditor scandal’, and you will be inundated with articles describing the failure of auditors, locally and globally, to achieve the objective of their function. The way markets have reacted to the failure of audit firms to meet their clients’ expectations is in stark contrast to almost any other industry. Globally, companies which sell products or services that fail to live up to expectations are punished and often end up going out of business. Despite the constant failings of the audit profession at providing the users of financial statements with what was asked for, it survives and thrives. However, the backlash is building, as much globally as we have seen locally, against these trusted guardians whose important role in verifying information, systems and controls is the foundation of the corporate system.

* Satrius of Juvenal, 1st century AD
In 2001, the world was exposed to the last major failing of an audit firm where any measure of accountability was taken. Arthur Andersen, one of the then ‘Big Five’ audit firms was found to have failed to identify vast, fraudulently overstated revenue at the energy trading business Enron. The much-publicised shredding of working papers by Arthur Andersen staff in an attempt to frustrate investigations amplified the fallout. Since then, the remaining Big Four have held a virtual monopoly over the audits of major corporations around the world, and despite many audit failures, the same situation with the same Big Four prevails, with little evidence that audit outcomes have improved.

In South Africa, there was justifiably outrage over the discovery that KPMG had presented a report to the South African Revenue Service, which it subsequently withdrew as being inappropriate. It was also found that the audit firm had an inappropriate relationship with the Gupta family before firing them as a client in 2016. Subsequently, the reaction against the auditors of companies where there has been fraudulent representation over many years has been more muted, bizarrely so given the billions that have been lost as a result.

Deloitte is currently giving evidence in defence of its African Bank Investments Limited (ABIL) audit, and is likely to be investigated for its role in Steinhoff. Before the ink was dry on the draft copy of this article, two further audit scandals came to light. First, PwC provided internal audit services and KPMG provided an external audit to VBS Mutual Bank where it now appears there was significant fraudulent activity, resulting in its 2017 accounts being withdrawn. Secondly, the amaBhungane Centre for Investigative Journalism discovered that the audit firm Nkonki had been bought out by parties related to the Guptas. Soon after, the firm and its chosen partner in this case, PwC, received significant consulting work from Eskom on very favourable payment terms.

The only possible reason that the rush by companies to fire KPMG as their auditors has not been matched by similar moves against other audit firms is the stark realisation that there is not much choice. Listed companies and their investors have always preferred their audits to be conducted by one of the prestigious firms, believing that these firms had the capacity to undertake complex audits, were more likely to be independent given their much larger fee base and brand reputation, and attracted better quality employees due to their stature. While these factors do hold true, sadly this does not seem to be any guarantee of an appropriate audit outcome.

Despite the shadow hanging over the Big Four, their dominance continues to grow. Grant Thornton, the fifth-largest firm in the UK, recently announced that it is pulling out of bidding for large UK audits given the dominance of the Big Four and the firm’s lack of client wins. Facing the prospect of bidding costs of approximately R5 million and perpetually being excluded in favour of the Big Four, they have made the rational economic decision to stop participating, leaving investors the poorer for choice.

While the problems are multiplying, the solutions are not obvious. We face the centuries-old challenge, alluded to in the title, of who will hold these guardians accountable for their own failures. Thus far, it has not been the independent regulatory bodies. South Africa’s regulator of the auditing industry, the Independent Regulatory Board for Auditors (IRBA), is only now getting around to investigating the ABIL audit, and is woefully understaffed to deal with the number of challenges it currently faces.

In order to be an audit partner, you need to be a registered accountant. The South African Institute of Chartered Accountants (SAICA) has yet to publicly rescind the use of its designation by members implicated in the recent KPMG, ABIL or Steinhoff scandals. Groucho Marx famously said, “I would never belong to a club that would have me as a member”. As a member of SAICA, and given the company that I share, I question why I would want to remain a member. This does not appear to be a solely South African problem. In the UK, it took the Financial Reporting Council, the country’s accounting oversight body, 10 years to review KPMG’s audit of HBOS bank, which failed during the financial crisis. KPMG was found not guilty.

Reinforcing the global angle on audit failure, the Financial Times highlighted a recent report from the International Forum of Independent Audit Regulators indicating that global accounting watchdogs had identified problems at 40% of the audits they inspected in 2017. The most common issue identified by these regulators was a failure among auditors to “assess the reasonableness of assumptions”. The second biggest problem was a failure among auditors to “sufficiently test the accuracy and completeness of data or reports produced by management”.

There is clearly a problem. The issue is how do the users of financial statements resolve it? It will be especially challenging for individual entities to drive the change necessary, given that it is a global problem and outside of regulatory intervention.

The first step we are taking as an organisation is enforcing the mandatory rotation of auditors in the companies in which we invest. While there is already pushback from the companies on this course of action, we think it is the only way to impose some measure of accountability on audit firms. Having a new firm come in and assess the state of reporting and controls with a fresh eye should encourage the incumbent auditor to ensure that its review is up to standard. By allowing a maximum tenure of 10 years, this avoids the additional costs and administrative burden of changing firms too often. The common view that the cost of changing audit firms is too
The auditing profession has always avoided the corporate structure and has been structured as a partnership. Having personal liability was supposed to make the partner more accountable. But it does not seem to have worked. While a global brand is used worldwide, accountability and responsibility rest only in the localised regions, preventing aggrieved investors from accessing the global audit firm’s resources. Properly ensuring consistent standards for global auditing firms should be seriously considered so that the entire group can be held accountable for failures. This would drive greater monitoring and compliance within the organisation, as opposed to today’s system where there is very little incentive for the global organisation to monitor its regional operations closely.

In addition, the corporate governance of auditing firms should be addressed. They do not have an independent board overseeing how their operations are run. After the recent lapses at KPMG, the firm has introduced the role of an independent chairperson and a lead independent director. This should become standard for all firms auditing listed companies and state-owned entities.

The regular response from the audit firms to challenges to the status quo has been to complain about how much this will cost them. The reality is we have very little insight into the finances and profitability of these firms. It is ironic that those tasked with ensuring transparency in financial reporting are themselves inscrutable organisations where profitability and executive pay are often not in the public domain. Requiring audit firms to report their accounts will help the users of their services to determine the profitability of this industry and of the ancillary services and consulting work that they undertake.

There is a large lobby that believes part of the solution is to break up the firms into separate auditing and consulting operations. I am not in favour of this option, as I think the provision of consulting services makes the businesses more sustainable and helps to attract the right talent. However, what should be in place are strict rules around limiting the ability of current auditors to consult to and audit the same group, and appropriate cooling-off periods between providing these different services. The practice of loss-leading on the audit to gain a foothold into the organisation to sell more lucrative additional services should also be examined, as it potentially prevents non-consulting audit firms from being competitive.

The fact that so many organisations, tasked with the important societal role of confirming the accuracy of company accounts, are either complicit in fraud or unable to identify inappropriate controls and accounting policies is truly breathtaking. Over the past 10 years, as white-collar crime has soared alongside state capture and theft of public assets, it appears that the entire country’s moral compass has shifted. What is required is a complete reset of values and a strong sense of accountability among members of the profession. The very definition of profession is ‘any type of work that needs special training or a particular skill, often one that is respected because it involves a high level of education.’ It is time that the auditing profession starts to show us how it will once again earn our respect.
THE ADIDAS THREE stripes logo is a familiar sight to sports and fashion lovers the world over. While the brand can be traced all the way back to a German shoe factory owned by the Dassler brothers in the 1920s, Adidas was officially created in 1949 by Adolf (Adi) Dassler. (After a falling out, his brother Rudolf formed Puma.) The company has a long, successful history and is now the largest sportswear manufacturer in Europe and the second-largest globally. Popular products include the Boost running shoe and the Copa Mundial football boot, which is the bestselling football boot of all time. In this article we discuss the global sportswear market and why we believe the investment case for Adidas presents a compelling opportunity for our strategies.

GLOBAL SPORTSWEAR

There are a number of reasons why we consider the global sportswear market to be attractive. It is relatively fragmented, with the largest player, Nike, holding just over 24% market share and Adidas holding around 14%. A fragmented market allows strong brands such as these to gradually increase their share over time, through innovation, superior distribution channels and clever marketing. Customers also tend to be relatively brand loyal, allowing strong brands to enjoy pricing power and healthy gross margins.
Sportswear is estimated to be a $350 billion annual industry. The market has grown strongly for many years, having experienced over 7% annual growth since 2009. This is more than double global GDP growth rates over the same period. Despite this impressive performance, there is still a significant runway for growth as emerging market consumers substantially underspend on sportswear relative to their developed market counterparts. Rising emerging market income levels mean growing numbers of middle-class consumers with more discretionary spending and greater participation rates in sports and leisure activities.

Sportswear brands have benefited significantly from 'athleisure' trends and the casualisation of work attire over time. Adidas in particular has done very well partnering with global celebrities such as Kanye West and Pharrell Williams, with new limited edition designs that have created much hype for the Adidas brand and positioned its sneakers and clothing as high-quality, aspirational products in the consumer’s mind.

China is expected to be a significant growth driver going forward, powered by a growing middle class and a new national fitness plan with ambitious targets for fitness levels and increased sports participation. In 2015, the size of the Chinese middle class reached 109 million adults, surpassing the US for the first time. China also has 425 million Millenials who have grown up with social media and put a premium on looking good. A good physique is now associated with virtues such as perseverance and self-discipline. According to the China Business Research Academy, gym membership in China doubled between 2008 and 2016. The number of yoga practitioners has more than doubled over the same period, while running is also gaining popularity. The sportswear market in China has consistently grown at double-digit growth rates over the past five years but is still less than a third of the size of the US sportswear market. Nike, Adidas and local Chinese company Anta Sports have strong positions in the country and stand to benefit from a market that we expect will continue to grow at a healthy pace.

Sportswear brands have benefitted significantly from ‘athleisure’ trends and the casualisation of work attire over time. Adidas in particular has done very well partnering with global celebrities such as Kanye West and Pharrell Williams, with new limited edition designs that have created much hype for the Adidas brand and positioned its sneakers and clothing as high-quality, aspirational products in the consumer’s mind.

China is expected to be a significant growth driver going forward, powered by a growing middle class and a new national fitness plan with ambitious targets for fitness levels and increased sports participation. In 2015, the size of the Chinese middle class reached 109 million adults, surpassing the US for the first time. China also has 425 million Millennials who have grown up with social media and put a premium on looking good. A good physique is now associated with virtues such as perseverance and self-discipline. According to the China Business Research Academy, gym membership in China doubled between 2008 and 2016. The number of yoga practitioners has more than doubled over the same period, while running is also gaining popularity. The sportswear market in China has consistently grown at double-digit growth rates over the past five years but is still less than a third of the size of the US sportswear market. Nike, Adidas and local Chinese company Anta Sports have strong positions in the country and stand to benefit from a market that we expect will continue to grow at a healthy pace.

Sportswear brands have benefitted significantly from ‘athleisure’ trends and the casualisation of work attire over time. Adidas in particular has done very well partnering with global celebrities such as Kanye West and Pharrell Williams, with new limited edition designs that have created much hype for the Adidas brand and positioned its sneakers and clothing as high-quality, aspirational products in the consumer’s mind.

Over longer time periods, Nike has outperformed Adidas from a sales growth and margin perspective, to the point where we believe Adidas was underearning relative to its potential. In 2015, a new game plan was announced at Adidas called ‘Creating the New’, which is being driven by a new management team. Current CEO Kasper Rorsted has enviable credentials and from 2008 to 2016 was responsible for the impressive turnaround of another underperforming German business – chemical and consumer goods company Henkel. He did this by focusing the product portfolio and instituting a new entrepreneurial, performance-driven culture at the company. There are early signs of him adding similar value to the Adidas business.

The new game plan is based on three strategic pillars – speed, key cities and open source. First, Adidas is focused on increasing the speed of its supply chain and production processes to be at the cutting edge of new fashion trends and improve the availability of product. Secondly, recognising that this is where new
trends develop, the company has directed its sales and marketing activities towards six of the world’s most influential metropolitan centres – New York, Los Angeles, London, Paris, Shanghai and Tokyo. Thirdly, ‘open source’ describes a new drive of inviting athletes, consumers and partners to collaborate with its brands. This has resulted in successful new products sold under the Adidas YEEZY and Adidas Originals names.

On the back of these initiatives, sales have grown at healthy double digits over the past three years and operating margins have increased from 6% to 10%, which represents a 55% increase in margin. Growth has been broad based, with the company’s three major regions (North America, Europe and China) growing significantly. Despite impressive recent financial performance, we believe there are still improvements to come. In spite of steady advances over the last decade when the company set out to narrow the gap between Nike and itself, operating margins are still significantly below those of its main rival (see the graph below) and management is focused on further expanding margins over the foreseeable future. This will be driven by a number of factors, including increasing the share of direct-to-consumer sales, which consist of physical Adidas store sales and e-commerce. Sales through these channels result in higher gross margins as they capture the retail markup in addition to the wholesale margin they would otherwise have earned.

As a key strategic focus area for management, ecommerce is expected to grow strongly going forward, and will allow Adidas to gain the above-mentioned retail markup with less of the associated cost that goes with running physical retail infrastructure. Other initiatives to improve margins include driving more full-price sales with the company’s ‘speed’ initiatives, and further cost-saving projects. Adidas also owns the less significant and underperforming Reebok brand, which we believe the management team will turn around over time.

CONCLUSION

Our investment team has closely followed both Adidas and Nike for a number of years. Due to similarly compelling investment cases, we have owned both companies at varying sizes in our strategies over time. We also like the fundamentals of Anta Sports, a homegrown Chinese sportswear company that we have analysed in detail in the past but have chosen not to own due to a high valuation and an insufficient margin of safety. All three stocks have done well over the past five years, beating the broader market comfortably.

Adidas is a world-class premium sports brand, with strong market positions in attractive, growing categories and across geographies. We are encouraged by the fact that the business now has a strong management team with a good track record that is doing the right things to improve the operational performance of the company.

After reducing its debt levels over recent years, the company also has a strong balance sheet and is committed to returning excess capital in the form of dividends and share buybacks. A recent pullback in the share price in late 2017 meant that the stock was trading on 20 times earnings, at a material valuation discount to Nike and with a better earnings growth profile due to its low margins. This provided us with an opportunity to build a meaningful position in our strategies.
British American Tobacco

Thriving in a highly regulated industry

By Siphamandla Shozi

BRITISH AMERICAN TOBACCO (BAT) is one of the world’s leading tobacco and next-generation product (NGP) groups managing an extensive portfolio of brands. It has delivered earnings growth of over 10% per annum in constant currency over the last decade, a feat that ranks with the best in global staples. Shareholders have been rewarded with a dollar return of 9% per annum over this 10-year period, strongly outperforming the MSCI World Index return of 6.5% per annum over the same period.

This excellent track record has been achieved despite severe tightening of smoking regulations around the world. Not many businesses can operate, let alone thrive, in the midst of unfavourable regulations that include bans on public smoking and advertising, and plain packaging (effectively a ban on branding). BAT’s performance is testament to the robustness of its business model.

PRICING POWER

There are not many businesses with true pricing power. BAT has the ability to pass through pricing ahead of inflation significantly.
more than the average company due to the addictiveness of its product. Pricing is a key lever needed to offset declining volumes caused by fewer smokers. Regular increases in excise/sin taxes also contribute to frequent price increases being passed on to consumers. Over the past decade, BAT has been able to generate, on average, 6% per annum in pricing, resulting in low to mid-single digit revenue growth.

**MARKET SHARE GAINS, COST SAVINGS AND MARGINS**

BAT has consistently gained market share over the last seven years, driven by its strategy of pushing through global drive brands (GDBs) to replace a plethora of local brands with less market appeal. GDBs include familiar brands like Kent, Dunhill and Rothmans. GDBs have grown at 7% to 8% per annum and constitute over 50% of total volumes. The process of consolidating the brand portfolio around GDBs comes with massive synergies in areas such as advertising, supply chain and complexity reduction in manufacturing. The implementation of enterprise resource planning system SAP has resulted in additional cost savings, leading to annual margin expansions and consequent mid-high single digit operating profit growth.

**EXCELLENT CASH GENERATION AND CAPITAL ALLOCATION**

BAT has low capital intensity which, when coupled with high margins, results in good free cash flow conversion. This free cash has been used to reward shareholders with high payout ratios coupled with periodic share buybacks. Significant acquisitions have been largely of businesses in which BAT already had a stake, which reduces the associated risk considerably.

**REYNOLDS OPPORTUNITY**

BAT acquired 58% of the stake it did not already own in Reynolds American Incorporated (RAI) last year. RAI is the second-largest tobacco company in the US with a 35% share of the market, behind market leader Altria, which owns the popular Marlboro brand. This deal makes BAT the largest tobacco company in the world. We believe this is a company-transforming transaction for BAT, providing it with access to the third-biggest, most profitable and one of the most affordable tobacco markets in the world. RAI has much room to increase prices without making cigarettes in the US too expensive. There are also significant cost and revenue synergies from combining the two businesses, and it gives the kind of scale required to invest in NGPs.

**NGP OPPORTUNITY HAS POTENTIAL TO STEP CHANGE EARNINGS BASE**

BAT has made significant investments into NGPs, a term used to describe various smoking devices that seek to deliver nicotine and other flavours in ways that are safer than combustible cigarettes. These can be grouped as heat-not-burn and e-vapour products; the key difference is that the former heats up actual tobacco while the latter heats up liquid/salts. The NGP category is growing rapidly across the world (forecast to be a £30 billion market by 2020). The US has the largest e-vapour market and Japan the largest heat-not-burn market. Due to a combination of premium positioning and favourable tax treatment, these products are two to three times more profitable than normal cigarettes. BAT is currently rolling them out aggressively across 14 countries. We believe these products could add at least 15% to BAT’s earnings base over the next five years.

**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA) CONCERNS**

BAT's share price has come under a lot of pressure in recent months, more so than its competitors. Besides rising global bond yields which have put pressure on most global staples, BAT is facing an uncertain regulatory environment in the US, its largest market. However, given improvements in NGP technology, there is now an alternative to smoking for those who still want nicotine.

The US FDA is starting a comprehensive process that seeks to develop a product standard for combustible cigarettes. Its aim is to reduce nicotine levels in combustible cigarettes to a minimally addictive/non-addictive level. This has the market worried. However, our research suggests that the science supporting any level of nicotine as non-addictive is still very weak at best. In addition, economic effects such as the impact on various state tax revenues and the possible growth of illicit markets will still need to be determined over the next few years. Compared to other markets, US tobacco nicotine content levels are an outlier and could be reduced considerably without affecting the market significantly if effected in a phased approach.

The FDA is also considering regulating flavours in smoking products, including menthol in cigarettes. The intention is to investigate whether certain flavours make it more likely for youth to start the habit of smoking. Menthol cigarettes make up a quarter of BAT’s revenue; any ban would therefore be extremely negative. However, the tobacco industry has been down this road before in the US, where a ban on menthol was considered through a process that began in 2011. The attempt was unsuccessful, and there have been no significant scientific developments since then that lead us to believe that a different outcome is likely.

**CONCLUSION**

BAT has delivered considerable value for its shareholders over a long period, despite operating in a closely regulated industry. The tobacco industry has very attractive fundamentals, including pricing power, margin expansion opportunity, strong free cash flow conversion and high returns on investment. With its attractive profitability and positioning, the NGP opportunity has the
potential to step change BAT’s earnings base. The current uncertainty over potential changes in the US regulatory environment, led by the FDA, has been priced into the current BAT share price. We believe exceptional global staples (for example, Unilever and Nestlé) should be valued at 20 to 22 times multiple to normal earnings. Given the regulatory risks that the tobacco industry face, we discount this multiple by 15%, which is why we value BAT at 18 times multiple to its normal earnings. BAT currently trades at 10.4 times multiple to our assessment of normal earnings, which in our view significantly undervalues the business.

Disclaimer: As long-term investors, environmental, social and governance (ESG) considerations are fully integrated into our investment process and form part of the mosaic for any investment case, in understanding the long-term sustainability of companies and their business worth. When valuing a business, we take ESG factors into account predominantly by adjusting the discount rate applied to the assessment of its normalised earnings. We therefore implicitly build the risks relating to ESG considerations into the ratings of the businesses we analyse. Where we can, we explicitly allow for ESG costs in the modelling of a company’s earnings. Social objectives vary significantly between investors, and ESG issues are often intrinsically fraught with ambiguity. We do not exclude investments in companies that perform poorly on ESG screens, but we do require greater risk-adjusted upside before investing. In practice, a business with an ambiguous ESG profile will be required to deliver higher returns to justify its inclusion in the portfolio.

This article is for informational purposes and should not be taken as a recommendation to purchase any individual securities. The companies mentioned herein are currently held in Coronation managed strategies, however, Coronation closely monitors its positions and may make changes to investment strategies at any time. If a company’s underlying fundamentals or valuation measures change, Coronation will re-evaluate its position and may sell part or all of its position. There is no guarantee that, should market conditions repeat, the abovementioned companies will perform in the same way in the future. There is no guarantee that the opinions expressed herein will be valid beyond the date of this presentation. There can be no assurance that a strategy will continue to hold the same position in companies described herein.
INVESTING IN FRONTIER markets provides for a huge cross section in opportunities as market dynamics differ significantly. From the currency-frozen markets of Zimbabwe to the mature markets of Eastern Europe, there is something for everyone. Of course, the key to making returns in these markets is all about what you pay.

As far as frontier markets go, Vietnam is a pleasure to visit. The visa process is a breeze and as you land there is a steamed bunfight of hawkers trying to sell you cheap mobile cards, currency and transfers – pretty much whatever you might need and more. Everything works, without having to pay excessively for it. Hotels are superb, the food quality is incredible and for less than a dollar you can jump on the back of an Uber scooter and zip through the craziness to whatever awaits.

We visited Vietnam in March to meet with a number of companies. It is an economy on the rise, growing at 7% per annum and with much going for it. It is a decent-sized market with close to 100

**Ho Chi Minh City vs. Cairo**

What you see is not always what you get

*By Peter Leger*

Peter is head of Global Frontiers and manages all strategies within the global frontiers offering. He joined Coronation in 2005 and has 20 years' experience in the financial markets in Africa as both a portfolio manager and research analyst.
million people with a wonderful work ethic and a ‘can-do’ attitude. Still, in the Coronation Global Frontiers strategy, we have zero exposure to Vietnam.

WHAT GIVES?

The current optimism towards Vietnam has seen very large capital flows into the country. It is a market with a healthy 18% of MSCI Frontiers Index weighting and managers of both Frontier and Global Emerging Market assets have been enthusiastic supporters.

However, the Vietnamese stock exchange is quirky. Trades have to be prefunded. A number of companies have foreign owner limits, which means stocks trade at two price points – one level between domestic buyers and another, much higher level, between foreign buyers. The foreign transactions are opaque and the regular market bid-offer transparency is not there.

A large number of listings have recently come to market, to great support. We have battled to find value and have resisted the temptation to buy into the momentum. An extreme example of the value dislocation is the coming to market of the largest cable operator, VTV: a 48% stake is being offered at 260 times 2016 earnings and 20 times its book value. As yet, there are no 2017 numbers available. The fact that something like this can even be brought to market screams warning signs.

So we left Ho Chi Minh city empty-handed following our trip. The story is great. The opportunity set is far less so.

SO WHERE DO WE SEE VALUE?

An interesting exercise is to compare Vietnam to Egypt. Both countries have populations close to 100 million people and their respective GDPs per capita are almost identical, at $2,482 and $2,492 respectively for Vietnam and Egypt. While it is treacherous to use read-across metrics such as market capitalisation to GDP, it is interesting that the Vietnamese total market capitalisation weighs in at $154 billion while that of Egypt is only $42 billion. Ratings in Vietnam are far higher, at an average of 21 times earnings, with the more interesting stocks trading at further premiums of as much as 50% due to foreign ownership levels. We would argue that the earnings base is also much higher in Vietnam, given the more stable multiyear growth history. Egypt trades on an average multiple of 15 times earnings, with the earnings base below normal given the country’s recent history.

This quarter, we met with a number of Egyptian companies. We are still managing to find high-quality businesses on single multiples – and this in an economy where inflation and interest rates have recently spiked and are now coming down quickly. Interest rates were cut 200 basis points this quarter, with expectations of further cuts in the months ahead. Inflation is likely to hit single-digit figures this year from having hit 30% in 2017.

Most of the businesses we talked to spoke of an improving trading environment. Economic reforms of the last couple of years are starting to yield results and the outlook for Egypt to experience growth over the next few years is good. However, due to previous hard years, many of these businesses have earnings well below our estimate of normal. So despite the strong stock market performance in Egypt, there are still companies trading below their intrinsic value.

While we hold no Vietnamese exposure today, we hold maximum positions in Egypt in both our Africa Frontiers strategy and our Global Frontiers strategy. This is as a direct result of specific high-conviction stock positions that stack up to give the overall exposure weighting.

I remember a conversation with a potential investor who was looking at Africa in 2014, when the markets had run hard. He said, “Give me a call when things are on single earnings multiples”. After the torrid 2015 and 2016, I did just that and gave him a call. “Oh no, I can’t invest in Africa! There’s just so much bad news,” he said. And that is the thing – most investors want the kind of deal that the UK is looking for in Brexit – divorce where you get to keep all the brilliant children, and pass on the delinquents. High-growth markets and single-digit multiples seldom go together. We think we might have found one in Egypt.

I
Rising global inflation
How worried should investors be?

By Tony Gibson

After an unprecedented 16 months of consecutive gains, it was not surprising that global equities experienced a sharp rise in volatility at the end of the first quarter of 2018. Initially, there was a sharp sell-off in February. While the monthly decline in US equities was 4.2% in February, the fall approached 10% at one point during the month. The MSCI Emerging Markets Index fared even worse, with a decline of 4.6%.

Turbulence in the equity market

These falls were essentially due to an equity bull market that has risen for a very long time without any material correction. The trigger for the sell-off was most likely concern about rising inflation and bond yields, with the 10-year US Treasury yield having risen from 2.41% at the end of last year to a high of 2.95% by mid-February. Commodity prices fell along with other risk assets, with Brent Crude and natural gas down by 6% and 7% respectively during February. However, the heightened volatility during late March and early April was due to a more specific event – the escalation in retaliatory exchanges between Washington and Beijing regarding terms of trade. This elevated concerns of a nascent ‘trade war’.
Although a little technical, another factor needs to be highlighted. Against this fundamental backdrop, a ‘volatility event’ related to the rapid liquidation of short-volatility positions in inverse Volatility Index (VIX) products appears to have exacerbated turbulence in the equity market. This was reflected in a 116% increase in the Chicago Board Options Exchange (CBOE) VIX on 5 February, which was its largest ever one-day change.

This event was not unlike the ‘flash crash’ of May 2010, given that equity volatility spiked far more dramatically than the volatility of rates, currencies or oil prices. A study of the 2010 event by the US Securities and Exchange Commission observed that “the interaction between automated execution programs and algorithmic trading strategies can quickly erode liquidity and result in disorderly markets”.

We believe that volatility of this magnitude, rather than being an outlying event, might well be the new normal. During this period, there was no protection to be found in bonds or gold, while equity sector diversification did not help either. All assets correlated.

The massive inflow into passive management has played a big role in creating this new environment. It is estimated that the exchange-traded fund (ETF) industry’s assets under management stood at $4.6 trillion at the end of 2017. In 2017 alone, ETF assets grew by over one trillion dollars compared to the US mutual fund industry that recorded growth of a mere $91 billion. Passive capital inflows therefore outgrew active flows by a factor of 10. During the first week of February, ETF outflows were $30 billion, which was sufficient to cause significant market disruption. This of course begs the question as to what would happen if these outflows were far larger; an outflow of, say, $300 billion will be a small percentage of recent flows into ETFs, yet the impact on volatility and correlations will most likely be extreme.

The correction in February left the MSCI World and MSCI Emerging Markets indices trading at reasonable levels of 16.0 and 12.4 times estimated earnings, which suggests that valuations alone are not an impediment to the resumption of the global equity bull market in coming quarters.

However, ETF outflows aside, even modestly rising inflation pressures and further gradual movements toward interest rate normalisation among major developed market central banks suggest a continued move towards more normal levels of equity market volatility, certainly relative to the extremely passive conditions of 2017.

Meanwhile, investors will continue to watch key risks closely. These include US inflation and interest rate pressures, the possibility of a significant slowdown in China in response to the negative credit push, rising trade tensions as the Trump administration seeks leverage in trying to renegotiate trade tariffs and the ever-present ‘tail risk’ of rising geopolitical risk associated with the nuclear standoff between the US and North Korea.

GLOBAL GROWTH OUTLOOK BUOYED BY CURRENT MOMENTUM

Notwithstanding recent concerns and increased volatility, we believe that the bull case for equities will endure for a while yet. The synchronised global expansion seems set to continue for several years, inflation remains moderate on a global basis, central banks are still providing ample liquidity and equities continue to look attractively priced relative to government bonds.

We believe that the fundamental outlook for global growth and interest rates is little changed from where it stood at the start of 2018. Global economic data continue to reflect an impressive, broad-based global economic expansion. Economists estimate that global manufacturing output accelerated to a 5.5% annual rate in the last quarter of 2017, its fastest pace since 2010.

As last year’s second-half rise in energy prices begins to dampen consumer spending, the pace of this expansion is expected to ease somewhat this year. However, there is sufficient momentum in the global economy that labour and product market constraints in developed markets should push both wage and core CPI inflation higher in coming quarters, along with expectations about central bank policy rates.

Outside the US, there has been relatively little change in expectations regarding monetary policy among the major central banks. Despite a noticeably stronger Eurozone economy, the European Central Bank is still on an extremely gradual path toward policy normalisation. Quantitative easing is widely expected to end only in September, while the first rate hike is not expected until the first or second quarter of 2019.

In Japan, officials continue to stress that no change in its quantitative easing programme should be expected anytime soon, but economists there believe that the Bank of Japan may ratchet up its target level for 10-year government bond yields from the current level of zero to 0.25% by the end of the year. In both Canada and the UK, interest rate futures markets predict the most likely scenario for further rate hikes coming at each central bank’s May meeting.

Taking a longer-term perspective, although we are late in the economic cycle, ongoing cyclical tail winds should fuel economic resilience in the US over the next 24 to 36 months. A key driver of this surprising resilience is the growth created by the coming of age of American Millennials, overlapping peak spending by Generation X families and the ageing but still healthy Baby Boom young seniors.

Collectively, the maturing of the core of a large generation exaggers consumer demand, workforce productivity, capital investment and economic growth. Housing is an important component of this. In the US, estimates are that 400,000 housing units are lost each year, for example through demolitions and fires.

To keep pace with the net rise in American household formations, at least 1.5 million new units must be built each year over the next decade. While the number of housing starts has rebounded slightly over the past three years (after the post-2008 supply glut absorbed from 2010 to 2014), building is still far below what is needed to meet rising Millennial Generation demand. Supply shortages have fed housing price inflation and set the stage for a further rise in residential construction across the country.

Additionally, following the 2008 financial crisis and global recession, many analysts had forecast that annual US new vehicle demand would never rebound above 16 million units. This belief...
was built on the understanding that the pre-crisis numbers were inflated by subprime lending, high fleet sales and irresponsibly low lease-end residuals. Yet demand has rebounded to a cyclical high above 17 million units per year. Although sales may soften slightly this year to about 16.8 million units, the consistently strong numbers are due to lower income taxes, rising household incomes and full-time employment and wages for Millennials, the largest market for new vehicles over the next 12 years.

**INVESTOR CONCERNS ABOUT INFLATION ON THE RISE**

As alluded to earlier, for the first time in many years, investors are becoming increasingly concerned about potential inflation, particularly in the US. This concern is based on the acceleration in the US hourly earnings to a 2.9% year-on-year pace in January, while the CPI rate also jumped by a greater than expected 0.5%.

Additional concerns arise from the fact that, on a forward-looking basis, US fiscal policy is becoming highly expansionary at a time when the economy is already at full employment. Based on the combined effect of previously announced tax cuts and a US budget deal in February that increases government spending by almost $400 billion, estimates are that 0.7% will be added to GDP growth in 2018 and 0.6% in 2019.

This has raised concerns that the US Federal Reserve (Fed) will need to push up interest rates more than was expected earlier. Interest rate futures are now pricing in a 35% chance that the Fed will hike rates four or more times by the end of this year, even though three rate hikes remain the most likely scenario.

Taking a longer-term outlook on inflation, in our opinion demand-pull inflation is no longer a force in the industrialised northern hemisphere, with the exception of the US. Essentially, this is due to the secular ageing and imminent contraction in the populations of Western Europe, Eastern Europe, Russia, Japan and South Korea. China will soon follow along this path, as its working-age population has already begun to shrink.

Since the US population is still growing, albeit slowly, brief surges of demand-pull inflation are still possible. However, ongoing contraction of consumer demand across most of Europe and North Asia will mute or offset such cyclical pricing power over the next few decades. While currency weakness or supply disruptions will cause periodic short-term local or regional inflationary pressures, any such pricing power will be short-lived due to the slow but inevitable deterioration of demand in the industrialised north.

Over the next decade, resilient US demand and rising per capita consumption in emerging South Asia are likely to offset demand weakness in Europe and Northeast Asia. However, the collective global shrinkage of demand will become more pronounced over the next 10 years as population ageing and contraction outside the US gathers momentum. Therefore, while investors must focus near term on modest pricing pressures created by the US- and China-led synchronised rise in global growth, any inflationary pressure is likely to be muted and short lived.

While manipulation of monetary and fiscal policies may temporarily boost input and consumer prices, over the longer term fewer high-income consumers will lead to reduced demand for food, energy, materials, goods and services. Meanwhile, year-on-year consumer inflation is moderating or under control in the world’s three largest emerging economies.

Put another way, if the populations of Europe and Northeast Asia were growing at a rate similar to the US, it can be argued that there would not have been a decade-long distortion of extremely low interest rates. As is well known, some of the consequences of these policies are blown-out equity price-earnings ratios, as well as impacts on asset allocations, commodity demand and over-leveraging in a reach for real yields. To give this perspective, we know that an individual consumes more at age 40 than at 60. The ageing of Europe and Japan has thus had a significant negative impact on collective global demand, and in turn, on pricing power for materials, goods and services.

Looking forward, the drag will become even more pronounced as most countries in the industrial north see their populations age further and decline in number. Fewer and older is a recipe for decline in demand, economic growth and public sentiment, and as a consequence, potentially political stability. While inflationary pressures will most likely see a late-cycle lift over the next 18 to 24 months, this pricing power is likely to prove temporary as secular deflationary pressures take hold during and beyond 2020.

Therefore, while fears of deflation and recession are currently giving way to worries about overheating due to recent ill-timed fiscal stimuli, it may not be long before investor concerns begin to turn back toward fears of stagnation and the social pressures associated with stagflation.

**POSITIVE US ECONOMIC DYNAMICS REMAIN**

In summary, fears of a near-term US recession should fade as we move into the second quarter, as the stimulus created by tax cuts, federal spending hikes and modestly higher wages boost consumer spending and capital investment. This is of course based on the view that it is in neither the US nor China’s interest to allow a full-scale trade war to take hold. However, this late-cycle growth is likely to dissipate later next year and into 2020 as rising interest rates dampen public and consumer spending and cause renewed job market anxiety. That said, while momentum investors will expect this slowdown to become irreversible, the positive dynamics of virtuous US population demographics should surprise the pessimists and reward long-term investors with a resumption of strong economic growth from the US. This will widen the divergence between North America and the ageing and contracting populations of Europe, Japan, Russia, South Korea and most of China.
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OVERVIEW
In October this year, we will celebrate the 10th anniversary of our Coronation Africa Frontiers strategy. Over the years, we have repeatedly made the case for a direct allocation to frontier markets, as they are under-represented in major global indices and under-researched by the world’s investors. The Africa Frontiers strategy leverages off our multidecade experience in managing money in an emerging market like South Africa.

The Coronation Africa Frontiers strategy aims to maximise the long-term, risk-adjusted returns available from investments on the African continent through capital growth of the underlying stocks selected. It is a flexible portfolio, primarily invested in listed African equities or stocks listed on developed and emerging market exchanges where a substantial part of their earnings are derived from the African continent. The strategy may hold cash and interest-bearing assets where we find this appropriate.

STRATEGY
Coronation Africa Frontiers follows a long-term, valuation-driven investment philosophy. We emphasise bottom-up stock selection.
rather than top-down geographic allocation or macro themes, an approach that has been applied across all our strategies for more than two decades.

The portfolio holds shares which we believe offer the most attractive risk-adjusted fair value relative to current market prices. Given the lack of reliable information in many frontier markets, calculating what we believe to be fair value of a business requires intensive on-the-ground research, constant contact with management teams and detailed financial modelling that focuses on through-the-cycle normalised earnings and free cash flows over the long term.

Given that shares often trade on near-term earnings prospects instead of their long-term earnings power, we aim to cut out the ‘short-term noise’ by focusing exclusively on the long term. We believe that our ability to invest with a time horizon of five years and longer is a key competitive advantage, allowing us to invest in assets that, in our view, are trading at substantial discounts to our assessment of their underlying value.

The portfolio is constructed on a clean slate basis based on the relative risk-adjusted upside to fair value of each underlying security. It is constructed with no reference to a benchmark, as we do not equate risk with tracking error or divergence from a benchmark, but rather with a permanent loss of capital.

PERFORMANCE

The Coronation Africa Frontiers strategy has delivered compelling performance over all meaningful time periods since inception.

After a strong performance in 2017, markets across Africa continued to rise in the first quarter of 2018. The Coronation Africa Frontiers strategy returned a gross performance of 12.2%, well ahead of its target (outperformance of the 3 Month ICE Libor USD) as well as the FTSE/JSE All Africa ex-South Africa 30 Index, which was up 11.9%. The large African economies went from strength to strength, shrugging off the increased volatility of developed markets over the quarter. Egypt was up 15.1%, Kenya up 13.7%, Nigeria up 9.5% and Morocco up 7.4%. Zimbabwe was down 10.1%; however, this decline in equity prices was due in part to an improved economic outlook and increased trust in the monetary system.

Equities are no longer deemed a necessary safe haven and cash holdings have increased in the hope of currency normalisation following the November 2017 regime change.

Eastern Tobacco, Stanbic IBTC and Seplat Petroleum contributed a combined 6.1% to the strategy’s performance. Eastern Tobacco benefited from improvements in its corporate governance, the share’s inclusion in the MSCI Emerging Markets and the FTSE indices and speculation that the company would pay out its excess cash reserves through an interim dividend. There were no meaningful detractors to performance, with no single position detracting more than 25 basis points (bps).
OUTLOOK

We are positive about the prospects of our various investments and remain fully invested in Egypt (see page 18 for more on this market). We met with a number of Egyptian corporates in Cairo, Cape Town and Dubai this quarter and most spoke of an improving trading environment. Headline inflation normalised down to 13.3% in March from the 33.0% peak in July 2017. Interest rates were cut by 200 bps this quarter and most economists expect further cuts in the coming months.

The economic reforms implemented over the past two years are already yielding positive results. As inflation and interest rates continue to decline, we have expectations for Egypt to experience a multiyear period of growth. Given the hardships of the past few years, it is not surprising that many of the companies we meet have earnings well below our estimate of normal. Despite the strong stock market performance, we thus continue to find companies that are trading below their intrinsic value.

We increased the Africa Frontiers strategy’s exposure to Qatar National Bank Alahli (QNBA) significantly this quarter. QNBA is the largest private sector bank by loans and second largest by deposits in Egypt. Over the longer term, the Egyptian banking sector is incredibly attractive and QNBA is well positioned to benefit from Egypt’s improved business confidence.

We are excited by the holdings in Egypt and across the Coronation Africa Frontiers strategy; however, we always remain cautious when years start out as strong as 2018. While pleased with performance year to date, we are mindful that markets are volatile and seldom increase in a straight line. Despite any near-term volatility, we continue to believe that long-term returns will be attractive for the valuation-focused, bottom-up investor.